

Static Analysis: Automated Bug Hunting and Beyond

Michael Schwarz Julian Erhard
{m.schwarz, julian.erhard}@tum.de

Chair for Formal Languages, Compiler Construction, Software Construction
Department of Informatics
Technical University of Munich

Summer Term 2021

Writing programs is hard.

Writing correct programs is very hard.

Testing

- ▶ Widely successful
- ▶ Can be automated to some extent
- ▶ Can only show that there are bugs, not their absence

Machine-verified proof (e.g. Isabelle)

- ▶ Can show bugs & their absence
- ▶ A highly manual process requiring highly trained people
- ▶ Problem with proof and implementation diverging

Static Analysis

- ▶ Fully automated
- ▶ Can show absence of certain classes of bugs
- ▶ Runs directly on the input program
- ▶ Abstract Interpretation, Model Checking, ...

Static Analysis

- ▶ Fully automated
- ▶ Can show absence of certain classes of bugs
- ▶ Runs directly on the input program
- ▶ **Abstract Interpretation**, Model Checking, ...

Abstract Interpretation

- ▶ Widely used both in Academia & Industry
- ▶ Can scale to huge industry-scale codebases
- ▶ The technique covered in Program Optimization Course (IN2053)

Goblint

- ▶ Analysis of multi-threaded, real-world C
- ▶ Efficient solvers for computation of fixpoints
- ▶ <https://goblint.in.tum.de>

Topics

- ▶ Integer Domains
 - ▶ Congruences
 - ▶ Octagons
 - ▶ ...
- ▶ Undefined Behavior
 - ▶ Null-Pointer-Dereference
 - ▶ Access-Out-Of-Bounds
 - ▶ ...

Example 1

Program correctness may depend on relational information between variables:

```
void main() {  
    int n = rand(); // Initialize to random value  
    if(n<0){  
        return;  
    }  
    int i = 0;  
    for(; i<n; i++){  
        printf("foo\n");  
    }  
    if(i != n)  
        crash(); // Something went horribly wrong  
}
```

Example 1

Program correctness may depend on relational information between variables:

```
void main() {  
    int n = rand(); // Initialize to random value  
    if(n<0){  
        return;  
    }  
    int i = 0;  
    for(; i<n; i++){  
        printf("foo\n");  
    }  
    if(i != n)  
        crash(); // Something went horribly wrong  
}
```

→ Use Octagon domain for relational information

Octagon Domain

- ▶ Store conjunction of constraints of the form $\pm X \pm Y \leq c$ where X and Y are program variables, and c is an integer.
- ▶ More precise information than intervals, but also more computationally expensive

Example 2

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#define LENGTH 10
int main(){
    int *values = malloc(LENGTH * sizeof(int));

    int i;
    for(i=0; i<LENGTH; i++){
        values[i] = i;
    }

    for(i=0; i<LENGTH; i++){
        values[i] = values[i]+values[(i%LENGTH)+1];
    }
    free(values);
}
```

Example 2

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#define LENGTH 10
int main(){
    int *values = malloc(LENGTH * sizeof(int));

    int i;
    for(i=0; i<LENGTH; i++){
        values[i] = i;
    }

    for(i=0; i<LENGTH; i++){
        values[i] = values[i]+values[(i%LENGTH)+1];
    }
    free(values);
}
```

The values array is accessed outside its bounds!

Benefits

- ▶ Deepen your understanding of
 - ▶ The Semantics of C and typical programming errors
 - ▶ Static Analysis by Abstract Interpretation
- ▶ Train your functional programming skills
- ▶ Give some insights into developing a research prototype

Format

- ▶ Teams of 2-4 students
- ▶ Course will take place throughout the semester
- ▶ (Bi-)weekly meetings with (one of) us
- ▶ Presentation at the end (one day, all groups)
 - ▶ Attendance & Active Participation mandatory(!)

Requirements

- ▶ Program Optimization Course (IN2053) (or a similar course at another university)
- ▶ Knowledge of a functional programming language (we use OCaml)
- ▶ Be in your Master's (Advanced Bachelor's students welcome)

Questions?

Further Reading



International standard ISO / IEC 9899:1999 Programming languages C - technical corrigendum 3 - Committee Draft.
ISO, 2007.

URL: <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg14/www/docs/n1256.pdf>.



Antoine Miné.

The octagon abstract domain.

In Elizabeth Burd, Peter Aiken, and Rainer Koschke, editors, *Proceedings of the Eighth Working Conference on Reverse Engineering, WCRE'01, Stuttgart, Germany, October 2-5, 2001*, page 310. IEEE Computer Society, 2001.

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1109/WCRE.2001.957836>,
doi:10.1109/WCRE.2001.957836.